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1. Introduction

Web Services Modeling Ontology (WSMO, [Roman et al. 2004]) allows semantic description of Web services. The current stack of Web services specifications, on the other hand, consists of purely syntactic languages whose semantics is described in plain text. As WSMO is mostly complementary to the other specifications, it should be able to be deployed and used together with the others. Specifically, some of the Web services specifications may want to carry or reference WSMO descriptions, and similarly WSMO descriptions may usefully include or reference elements from the current Web services specifications.

This document is intended as a listing of those Web services specifications that may use WSMO or be used by WSMO, together with a description of the mechanisms linking WSMO and the particular Web services specifications.

1.1 Relevant Web Services Specifications

Due to the modular nature of Web services Specifications, various standardization bodies, industry alliances or even single vendors have been releasing many specifications relevant to Web services, covering larger or smaller domains and purposes. It would be an enormous task to investigate the possible connection of WSMO with each of the specifications, but luckily we only need to focus on a small subset of the space.

Web services specifications can be broadly categorized as description languages and protocols. Description languages are used to specify various (generally) static deployment and configuration aspects of Web services. Protocols, on the other hand, specify the run-time behaviors necessary to achieve a specific purpose. As an example of this distinction we can take the domain of Web services security which contains both description languages specifying the formats of security tokens like keys and encrypted data, and protocols specifying how those tokens are exchanged and used to achieve a confidential communication channel.
As WSMO falls into the category of description languages, this document focuses on relating WSMO to other description languages or to protocols that refer to or transfer pieces of descriptions. Initially we focus on standards (finished or under development) and on major finished non-standard industry specifications.

The following is a listing of the Web services specifications that we have identified as potentially related to WSMO:

- Web Services Description Language and XML Schema (see D24.2 WSMO Grounding)
- Web Services Policy (D24.3 Aligning WSMO with WS-Policy)
- Web Services Addressing (Section 2)
- Web Services Metadata Exchange (WS-MEX) protocol (Section 3)
- Universal Description, Discovery and Integration (UDDI) repository
- Web Services Discovery
- Web Services Resource Framework (WSRF)
- Business Process Execution Language for Web Services (BPEL4WS)
- Web Services Choreography Description Language (WS-CDL)
- ebXML

Note that this is a ongoing work, further sections or deliverables may be added in the future to discuss specifications that are not yet discussed here.

2. Web Services Addressing

The Web Services Addressing specification [WSAddressing] defines a format for references to Web services endpoints (so called endpoint references) and a set of message headers used for simple message routing and correlation purposes. Only the endpoint reference structure is directly relevant to WSMO.

2.1 WS Addressing Overview

The following is the structure of an endpoint reference in a pseudo schema:

```
01 <wsa:EndpointReference>
02 <wsa:Address>xs:anyURI</wsa:Address>
03 <wsa:ReferenceProperties>
04 ...
05 </wsa:ReferenceProperties> ?
06 <wsa:ReferenceParameters>
07 ...
08 </wsa:ReferenceParameters> ?
09 <wsa:PortType>
10   xs:QName
11 </wsa:PortType> ?
12 <wsa:ServiceName PortName="xs:NCName"/> xs:QName
13 <wsa:ServiceName> ?
14 <wsp:Policy>
15 ...
16 </wsp:Policy> *
17 </wsa:EndpointReference>
```

Endpoint references contain several important pieces of data: address and reference properties (lines 2-5), which together uniquely identify the Web service endpoint; reference parameters (lines 6-8) that are associated with the endpoint to facilitate a particular interaction; pointers to WSDL port type and service port (lines 9-14), also known as interface and service endpoint in WSDL 2.0; and finally a policy (lines 15-17).

2.2 WS Addressing and WSMO

When suitable, an endpoint reference can point to a WSDL interface (as in the following example, lines 5-7) so that the recipient of this reference may choose the appropriate code to use that interface. In similar spirit, we introduce element wsmi:serviceReference that refers to a WSMO service description to be used in situations where the recipient of an endpoint reference is able to tailor its behavior according to the semantic description of the referenced endpoint (see the example, lines 8-10).
Regarding using WSMO as part of the policy in an endpoint reference, see deliverable D24.3 Aligning WSMO with WS-Policy.

2.3 Ontology for Endpoint References

As described above, WS Addressing defines the XML structure of an Endpoint Reference. This structure is used in WS Addressing for routing information, but it intends to be reused by any applications that require need to reference Web services. In certain situations Endpoint References will be directly incorporated into messages passed between a Web service and its client. In these scenarios the schema of the messages will refer to the Endpoint Reference, like in the following example:

```xml
<xs:element name="ServiceCreationResponse">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="TTL" type="xsd:integer"/>
      <xs:element name="Endpoint" type="wsa:EndpointReferenceType"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
```

Similarly, Semantic Web services might need to exchange Web service references. For this purpose we define a simple ontology for Endpoint References modeled after WS Addressing:

```xml
ontology _"http://wsmo.org/ontology/WebServices/
concept EndpointReference
  hasAddress ofType (1) xs#anyURI
  hasReferenceProperty ofType rdf#XMLLiteral
  hasReferenceParameter ofType rdf#XMLLiteral
  isWSDLPortType ofType xs#QName
  isWSDLService ofType xs#QName
  isWSDLPort ofType xs#NQName
  isWSMOService ofType xs#anyURI /* because webService is not a concept */
  /* policy belongs to non-functional properties */
```

3. Web Services Metadata Exchange

Web services have metadata to describe what their clients need to know to interact with them. Specifically, Web services can have WS Policy [WSPolicy] policies, WSDL [WSDL] descriptions XML Schema [XMLSchema] schemata associated with them. WSMO [Roman et al. 2004] adds another form of Web service metadata - their semantic descriptions.

To bootstrap communication with Web services and to retrieve these and other types of metadata, Web Services Metadata Exchange (WS-MEX) [WSMEX] specification defines a protocol for requesting metadata from Web services or from dedicated metadata endpoints, described shortly in section 3.1. It is natural for us to enable the retrieval of WSMO descriptions using WS-MEX, this is described in section 3.2.

3.1 WS-MEX Overview

From the point of view of WS-MEX, every Web service can have multiple pieces of metadata in differing languages. To retrieve a service's metadata, a requester can use the Get Metadata request. As a part of the request message, the requester can specify the so-called dialect and identifier of the requested piece of metadata. The following illustrates the structure of the Get Metadata request message:

```xml
<soap:Body>
  <wsx:GetMetadata>
    <wsx:Dialect>xs:anyURI</wsx:Dialect>
    <wsx:Identifier>xs:anyURI</wsx:Identifier>
  </wsx:GetMetadata>
</soap:Body>
```

The response message contains zero or more so called metadata sections, as illustrated below:

```xml
<soap:Body>
  <wsx:Metadata ...>
    <wsx:SpecificElementName></wsx:SpecificElementName>
    <wsx:Location>xs:anyURI</wsx:Location>
    <wsx:MetadataReference ...>
      endpoint-reference
```
Each metadata section uses the attribute Dialect (line 3) to identify the language and version, in which the metadata is represented. Appendix I of WS-MEX defines the dialect URIs for WSDL 1.1, XML Schema 1.0, WS Policy and WS Policy Attachment and finally for WS Metadata Exchange itself so that services can have nested metadata sets. The optional dialect-dependent attribute Identifier (line 4) provides further identification of this piece of metadata, usually its target namespace.

Each MetadataSection element contains either an actual dialect-specific metadata element (line 6), for example wsdl:definitions, or a pointer to another location, either on the Web (wsx:Location, line 8) or in a dedicated Metadata Web service (wsx:MetadataReference, lines 10-12).

The element wsx:Location, when present, contains a URI on which the piece of metadata with the specified dialect and identifier can be obtained. This allows a service to point to its metadata available on the Web.

The element wsx:MetadataReference, when present, contains a WS Addressing ([WSAddressing]) endpoint reference which identifies a service which will return the metadata upon a simple SOAP request.

The following example demonstrates metadata received from a stock-quote service.

```xml
<wsx:Metadata>
  <wsx:MetadataSection
    Dialect='http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/
    Identifier='http://server.example.org/stockquote'
    wsdl:definitions
    name='StockQuote'
    targetNamespace='http://server.example.org/stockquote'
    xmlns:tns='http://server.example.org/stockquote'
    xmlns:wsdl='http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/
    xmlns:soap='http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/soap/
      location='http://server.example.org/stockquote'/
    wsdl:portType name='StockQuotePortType'
      wsdl:operation name='GetLastTradePrice'
        wsdl:input message='tns:GetLastTradePriceInput'/
        wsdl:output message='tns:GetLastTradePriceOutput'/
      wsdl:operation
    wsdl:portType
  <wsx:service name='StockQuoteService'
    wsx:port name='StockQuotePort'
      wsx:binding='tns:StockQuoteBinding' >
        wsx:portAddress
          location='http://server.example.com/endpoint'/
      wsx:port
  <wsx:service>
    <wsx:definitions>
  </wsx:MetadataSection>
</wsx:Metadata>
```

Lines 5 to 26 contain the WSDL description of the service, wrapped in a metadata section with the WSDL dialect (http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/) and the identifier being the target namespace of this specific WSDL (http://server.example.org/stockquote).

Lines 29 to 36 contain another metadata section pointing to a policy (dialect http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/09/policy) available by accessing the Web service at...
http://server.example.com/policyOTTheDay/stockQuote. Finally lines 38 to 44 contain a metadata section pointing to a schema (dialect http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema) with the target namespace http://server.example.com/schemas/stockQuote which is available on the Web at the same URI (compare lines 40 and 42).

3.2 Using WS-MEX to retrieve WSMO descriptions

In order for WS Metadata Exchange to be able to carry WSMO descriptions, WSMO must simply provide a dialect URI identifying this language and optionally also a mechanism for assigning identifier URIs to different WSMO descriptions. In a way similar to WSDL, the dialect URI can be the namespace of WSML/XML [de Bruijn 2004] elements, http://www.wsmo.org/wsml/wsml-syntax# but since WSML/XML files don't have any single identifying property (in particular, they don't have any targetNamespace notion), we cannot provide any value to the identifier.

The following is a simple example of a WSML document in a WS-MEX metadata section:

```
 01 <wsx:Metadata>
 02   <wsx:MetadataSection>
 03     <Dialect:http://wsmo.org/2004/wsml'>
 04     <wsml:wsml xmlns:wsml="http://www.wsmo.org/wsml/wsml-syntax#"/>
 05     <wsml:ontology
 06       name="http://example.org/ontologies/ticketing/">
 07     <wsml:concept
 08       name="Ticket"/>
 09   </wsml:ontology>
 10 </wsml:wsml>
 11 </wsx:MetadataSection>
 12 </wsx:Metadata>
```

4. Conclusions

This is an ongoing work and may be updated or split at any time. When it is split, this document will point to where the split parts are now, so this document should serve as a repository of specifications tying WSMO and Web services specifications.

To align WSMO with the WS specifications, this document introduces one new XML element:

```
  wsml:serviceReference
```

used in WS Addressing endpoint references to point to a WSMO description of the service, also used in WS Policy to express a policy assertion assigning a referenced WSMO service description to the policy subject,
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